## 

1 2	DAVID R. EBERHART (S.B. #195474) deberhart@omm.com DAVID J. SEPANIK (S.B. #221527) dsepanik@omm.com			
3	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor			
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-3823 Telephone: (415) 984-8700			
5	Facsimile: (415) 984-8701			
6	Attorneys for Defendant			
7	Apple Inc.			
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	TOM LUCE, BRIAN KROLL, MATT	Case No. CV12-2063 MMC		
13	BLACKETT, LAWRENCE RIGGS,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO		
14	Plaintiffs,	RESPOND TO COMPLAINT		
15	V. SELENA COMEZ SELENA COMEZ &	Original response date: May 29, 2012 Current response date: August 10, 2012		
16 17	SELENA GOMEZ, SELENA GOMEZ & THE SCENE, LINDY ROBBINS, TOBY GAD, HOLLYWOOD RECORDS, INC., a	New response date: September 3, 2012		
18	California Corporation, HEY KIDDO MUSIC, GAD SONGS, LLC, a Limited			
19	Liability Company, APPLE INC., a California Corporation, EMI APRIL			
20	MUSIC, INC., a Connecticut Corporation, and KOBALT MUSIC SERVICES			
21	AMERICA, INC., a Delaware Corporation.			
22	Defendants.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		CASE NO. CV12-2063 MN		

CASE NO. CV12-2063 MMC STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT

## 

1	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Tom Luce, Brian Kroll, Matt Blackett, and Lawrence Riggs		
2	(Plaintiffs) filed this action against, among others, Defendants Apple Inc., (Apple) and		
3	Hollywood Records, Inc. (Hollywood Records), and a response was originally due May 29, 2012		
4	WHEREAS, on May 25, 2012, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and		
5	Apple agreed to extend the time within which Apple must answer or otherwise respond to the		
6	Complaint until June 28, 2012;		
7	WHEREAS, on May 29, 2012, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and		
8	Hollywood Records agreed to extend the time within which Hollywood Records must answer or		
9	otherwise respond to the Complaint until July 2, 2012;		
10	WHEREAS, on June 26, 2012, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and		
11	Apple, and the Plaintiffs and Hollywood Records, agreed to extend the time within which Apple		
12	and Hollywood Records must answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until July 27, 2012;		
13	WHEREAS, on July 25, 2012, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and		
14	Apple, and the Plaintiffs and Hollywood Records, agreed to extend the time within which Apple		
15	and Hollywood Records must answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until August 10,		
16	2012;		
17	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and Apple, and the		
18	Plaintiffs and Hollywood Records, have respectively agreed to extend the time within which		
19	Apple and Hollywood Records must answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until		
20	September 3, 2012 so that the parties may attempt to complete a resolution of this matter. This		
21	extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order;		
22	NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Apple, and Hollywood Records, through their		
23	respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:		
24	Defendant Apple Inc. and Defendant Hollywood Records, Inc. in the above-		
25	entitled matter shall have until September 3, 2012, to answer or otherwise respond to the		
26	Complaint.		
27			
28			

	Case 3:12-cv-02063-MMC Document 4:	1 Filed 08/10/12 Page 3 of 4	
1	Dated: August 9, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
2		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
3			
4		By: /s/ David R. Eberhart	
5		David R. Eberhart Attorneys for Defendant	
6		Apple Inc.	
7	Dated: August 9, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
8		PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE & GIVEN LLP	
9			
10		By: /s/ Nicholas A. Carlin	
11		Nicholas A. Carlin Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
12		Tom Luce, Brian Kroll, Matt Blackett, Lawrence Riggs	
13	Dated: August 9, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
14			
15		Dry /o/ Varior N. Engdavilson	
16		By: /s/ Karen N. Frederiksen  Karen N. Frederiksen	
17		Attorney for Defendant Hollywood Records, Inc.	
18			
19	ATTES	TATION	
20	ATTESTATION  Decrease of the Control		
21	Pursuant to General Order No. 45X(B), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the above-listed signatories.		
22	document has been obtained from each of the ac	bove-fisted signatories.	
23	DATED A 40 2012	//D : ID EL I .	
24	DATED: August 9, 2012 B	y: <u>/s/ David R. Eberhart</u> David R. Eberhart	
25			
26			
27			
28		CASE NO. CV12-2063 MMC	
	- :	3 - STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT	

RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT

## 

1	PROPOSED ORDEER	
2	Upon stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS SO ORDERED	
3		
4	DATED A SOLO DE LA COLO DE LA COL	
5	DATED: August 10, 2012  HON. M. XINE M. CHESNEY  HONTED: A TEST DISTRICT COLUMN HIDGE	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	CASE NO. CV12-2063 MMC	
- 1	·	

CASE NO. CV12-2063 MMC STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT